Synthesis of Triangular Metallodendrimers via Coordination-Driven Self-Assembly

Qing Han, † Li-Lei Wang, † Quan-Jie Li, ‡ Guang-Zhen Zhao, † Jiuming He, $^\$$ Bingjie Hu, $^\|$ Hongwei Tan, ‡ Zeper Abliz,§ Yihua Yu,[∥] and Hai-Bo Yang*,†

† Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and C[he](#page-5-0)mical Processes, Department of Chemistry, East China Normal University, 3663 North Zhongshan Road, Shanghai 200062, P. R. China

‡ Department of Chemistry, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P. R. China

§ Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100050, P. R. China ∥ Shanghai Key Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance, Department of Physics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, P. R. China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [A new family](#page-5-0) of 60° dendritic di-Pt(II) acceptor tectons have been successfully designed and synthesized, from which a series of novel "threecomponent" triangular metallodendrimers were prepared via [3 + 3] coordination-driven self-assembly. The structures of newly designed triangular metallodendrimers are characterized by multinuclear NMR $(^1\mathrm{H}$ and $^{31}\mathrm{P})$, $^1\mathrm{H}$ DOSY NMR, mass spectrometry (CSI-TOF-MS), and elemental analysis. The shape and size of all supramolecular dendritic triangles were investigated with PM6 semiempirical molecular orbital methods.

Supramolecular Triangular Metallodendrimers

ENTRODUCTION

 $Dendrimers¹$ are highly branched, three-dimensional macromolecules comprised of several dendritic wedges extending outward fr[om](#page-5-0) an internal core. In the past few decades, the design and synthesis of diverse dendrimers² has evolved to be one of the most attractive subjects within supramolecular chemistry because of their wide applicat[io](#page-5-0)ns in host−guest chemistry, 3 catalysis, 4 materials science, 5 and nanomedicine, 6 etc. In general, two complementary methodologies, $2a$ the divergent [a](#page-5-0)nd the [co](#page-5-0)nvergent, have b[ee](#page-5-0)n employed in th[e](#page-5-0) preparation of dendrimers. However, such covalent sy[nt](#page-5-0)hetic protocols sometimes suffer from time-consuming procedures and unsatisfactory yields resulting from steric congestions. Compared to the conventional stepwise formation of covalent bonds, the self-assembly process driven by noncovalent interactions exhibits considerable synthetic advantages including comparatively fewer steps, fast and facile formation of the final products, and inherent detect-free assembly. In particular, self-assembly provides an efficient approach to the construction of the higher order supramolecular dendrimers. As a consequence, there has been an increasing interest in the studies of self-assembly of dendrimers to provide well-defined nanoscale architectures 7 via a variety of noncovalent interactions⁸ such as electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonding, and metal−ligan[d](#page-5-0) coordination.

Coordination-driven self-assembly⁹ has proven to be a particularly powerful method for the construction of supramolecular two-dimensional (2-D) an[d](#page-5-0) three-dimensional (3-D) structures with well-defined shape and size.^{10,11} Among the known artificial metallacycles, triangle 12 has attracted considerable attention since the first example^{12a} of a [cycli](#page-5-0)c organogold molecular triangle reported by Vaugha[n](#page-6-0) in 1970. However, the formation of supramolecular triangles [so](#page-6-0)metimes suffers from the noticeable equilibrium with other macrocyclic species when flexible building blocks are employed.¹³ For example, Fujita and co-workers have reported that the self-assembly of [Pd(en)- $(ONO₂)₂$] with longer and more [fl](#page-6-0)exible 180° dipyridine ligands affords equilibrium mixtures of molecular squares and triangles.^{13a} According to the directional-bonding methodology,9a,c a predesigned supramolecular triangle can be assembl[ed b](#page-6-0)y the reaction of three rigid ditopic 60° tectons, servin[g as](#page-5-0) the corners, with three linear sides. 14 For instance, we have realized the formation of supramolecular multiferrocenyl triangles from the combination of 60° f[err](#page-6-0)ocenyl building blocks with different sized linear donor subunits via coordination-driven self-assembly.^{11a} With the aim of developing self-assembly of novel supramolecular functionalized

Received: January 31, 2012 Published: March 20, 2012

triangles, the design and synthesis of new 60° tectons is still necessary.

Herein we have successfully synthesized a new family of 60° dendritic metal-containing corners (Figure 1) from which a

Figure 1. Molecular structures of [G-1]−[G-3] 60° dendritic di-Pt(II) acceptor subunits 1a−c.

series of novel supramolecular metallodendritic triangles were obtained via coordination-driven self-assembly. These triangular metallodendrimers are formed via the directional-bonding approach that is not template directed. It should be noted that supramolecular metallodendrimers are of special interest because of a variety of materials and synthetic applications.^{15,16} For example, we have previously reported the self-assembly of a variety of metallodendrimers with cavities of various shapes [and](#page-6-0) sizes such as rhomboids and hexagons.16a−^f Moreover, a family of new metallodendritic squares has been synthesized from 4,4′ bipyridines funtionalized with Fréche[t den](#page-6-0)drons and (dppp)- $Pt(II)$ or Pd(II) triflate by Schalley's group.^{16g} Very recently, Newkome and co-workers have reported the synthesis and photophysical properties of a series o[f n](#page-6-0)ew dendronfunctionalized bis(terpyridine)−iron(II) or −cadmium(II) metallomacrocycles.^{16h} Herein we report our results on the self-assembly of triangular metallodendrimers from the newly designed 60° dendr[itic](#page-6-0) corners without using a template.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The new 60° dendritic di-Pt(II) acceptors 1a−c can be easily synthesized in three steps as shown in Scheme 1. The Fréchettype dendrons were introduced by an etherification reaction of 3,6-dibromophenanthrene-9,10-diol¹⁷ (2) with the corresponding dendritic bromides.16f Compounds 3a−c were then reacted with 4 equiv of Pt (PEt₃)₄ to giv[e b](#page-6-0)romide complexes 4a–c. Subsequent halogen a[bst](#page-6-0)raction with $AgNO₃$ resulted in the isolation of bisnitrate salts 1a−c in reasonable yield. It was found that 60° dendritic diplatinum linkers 1a−c displayed singlets (19.27 ppm for 1a; 19.29 ppm for 1b; 19.00 ppm for 1c), accompanied by concomitant 1^{195} Pt satellites in the $3^{19}P$ {¹H} NMR spectra. Single crystals of di-Pt(II) dibromide complex 4a, suitable for X-ray diffraction studies, were grown by slow vapor evaporation of a solution of a solvent mixture (CH_2Cl_2) /CH3OH: 1/1) at ambient temperature for 2−3 days. An ORTEP representation of the structure of 4a (Figure S1, see the Supporting Information) shows that it is indeed a suitable candidate for a 60° building unit, with the angle between the two [platinum coordination](#page-5-0) planes being approximately 62°. The distance between the two Pt centers in 4a is 7.7 Å. All of the atoms (except for the triethylphosphine ligands) lie approximately in the same plane.

For the assembly of supramolecular metallodendritic triangles, 4,4-bipyridyl (5) was employed as 180° linear building blocks. The self-assembly of triangular metallodendrimers 6a−c proceeded essentially quantitatively as outlined in Scheme 2. Heating the mixtures of 4,4-bipyridyl (5) and the

Scheme 2. Self-Assembly of Supramolecular Triangular Metallodendrimers 6a−c via [3 + 3] Coordination-Driven Self-Assembly

corresponding 60° dendritic diplatinum acceptors 1a−c in a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio in aqueous acetone (water/acetone 1/6) overnight resulted in supramolecular metallodendritic triangles 6a−c, respectively. 31P{1 H} NMR analysis of the reaction mixtures is consistent with the formation of single, highly symmetric species as indicated by the appearance of a sharp singlet (ca. 11.8 ppm) with concomitant 195 Pt satellites, shifted upfield by ca. 7.2 ppm as compared to the precursors 1a−c (Figure 2). In the ¹H NMR spectrum of each assembly (Figure 3), the α -hydrogen nuclei of the pyridine rings exhibited 0.16− 0.67 pp[m](#page-2-0) downfield shifts and the $β$ -hydrogen nuclei showed [ab](#page-2-0)out 0.74−0.92 ppm downfield shifts because of the loss of electron density that occurs upon coordination of the pyridine-

Figure 2. Partial ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR spectra (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298K) of $[G-3]$ 60° di-Pt (II) acceptor 1c (A) and $[G-3]$ triangular metallodendrimer 6c (B).

Figure 3. Partial ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298K) of 4,4'dipyridine (A) and $[G-1] - [G-3]$ triangular metallodendrimers 6a (B) , 6b (C), and 6c (D).

N atom with the $Pt(II)$ metal center. It is noteworthy that the existence of two sets of doublets for both the α - and β -pyridine protons is an important characteristic feature of the ¹H NMR of these triangles.^{7 \hat{a} ,1^{4a} Given that the pyridine rings of the side} unit and the phenanthrene system of the corner lie in the same plane, the for[m](#page-5-0)[atio](#page-6-0)n of the macrocyclic structure creates a different environment for the inner and outer pyridine protons, hence the different chemical shifts. The similar results have been reported in the previous literature.^{7a,14a} The sharp NMR signals in both the ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ and ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectra (see the Supporting Information) along with t[he](#page-5-0) [so](#page-6-0)lubility of these species ruled out the formation of oligomers.

Mass-spectrometric studies of triangular metallodendrimers 6a−c were performed by the cold-spray ionization (CSI)-TOF-MS technique, which allows the assemblies to remain intact during the ionization process in order to obtain the high resolution required for unambiguous determination of individual charge-states.¹⁸ The high molecular weight and complex isotope splitting of such charged multiplatinum species necessitates high[-re](#page-6-0)solution mass spectral analysis in order to determine their absolute molecular weight and their molecularity, which reveals the absolute stoichiometry of constituent subunits and can definitively rule out the possibility of byproduct assembly. All results of mass studies of assemblies 6a−c have provided strong support for the formation of triangular metallodendrimers. In the CSI-TOF-MS spectrum of [G-1] assembly 6a, for example, peaks at $m/z = 2401.5$ and $m/$ $z = 1552.8$, corresponding to the charge states $[M - 2 \text{ PF}_6]^{2+}$ and $[M - 3 \text{ PF}_6]^{3+}$, respectively, were observed and their isotopic resolutions are in excellent agreement with the theoretical distributions (Figure 4A). Similarly, the CSI-TOF-MS spectrum of $[G-2]$ triangular metallodendrimer 6b (Figure 4B) exhibited two charged [s](#page-3-0)tates at $m/z = 1977.1$ and $m/z =$ 1466.8, related to $[M - 3 \text{ PF}_6]^{3+}$ and $[M - 4 \text{ PF}_6]^{4+}$, [re](#page-3-0)spectively. These peaks were isotopically resolved, and they agree very well with their theoretical distribution. Given the significantly larger molecular weight $(8,906.8 \text{ Da})$ of the $[G-3]$ triangular metallodendrimer 6c it is more difficult to get strong mass signals even under the CSI-TOF-MS conditions. With considerable effort, the peak at $m/z = 2083.19$ was observed in the CSI-TOF-MS spectrum of [G-3]-assembly 6c, which corresponds to the $[M - 4 \text{ PF}_6]^{4+}$ charge state. This peak was isotopically resolved and agrees with the theoretical distribution, although overlap with the signals of minor unknown fragments was also observed (Figure 3C).

Large supramolecular coordination compounds and flexible, high-generation dendrimers often prove difficult to crystallize. All attempts to grow X-ray quality single crystals of triangular metallodendrimers 6a−c have proven to be unsuccessful to date. Therefore, the geometry structures of the supramolecular metallodendritic triangles 6a−c were optimized by PM6 semiempirical molecular orbital methods, respectively. In the optimized structure (Figure 5), it was found that 6a−c have a roughly planar triangular ring at its core surrounded by threecomponent dendron subuni[ts.](#page-3-0) The sides of the triangles 6a−c are 4.0, 4.7, and 5.9 nm in length, respectively, and the internal cavity is approximately 1.7 nm. Moreover, simulations reveal that the underlying triangular structures, "scaffolds", all retain their planar and rigid structures even when derivatized with dendrons units. In all cases, the flexible nature of the pendent dendron moieties and the rigid nature of the triangular cavity can be observed from modeling studies. In order to obtain further structural information, the diffusion coefficient (D) of newly designed dendritic triangles was determined by twodimensional diffusion-ordered NMR (DOSY) experiments. The ¹H DOSY NMR measurements for assemblies 6a–c in CDCl₃ were carried out under similar conditions (3.06×10^{-3}) mol/L, 293 K), respectively. It was found that the D values decrease gradually with an increase of the molecular weight (see the Supporting Information), which is in good agreement with the modeling results.

■ [CONCLUSION](#page-5-0)

In summary, we have designed and synthesized a new class of 60° dendritic di-Pt(II) acceptor subunits, from which novel

Figure 4. Theoretical (top) and experimental (bottom) CSI-TOF-MS spectra of [G-1]−[G-3] triangular metallodendrimers 6a (A), 6b (B), and 6c (C).

Figure 5. Simulated molecular model of triangular metallodendrimers 6a (A) , 6b (B) , and 6c (C) .

three-component triangular metallodendrimers can be easily formed via $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & + & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ coordination-driven self-assembly, thus enriching the library of dendritic metallacycles. More importantly, compared to some previous reports of the construction of supramolecular triangles, this study provides highly efficient approach to the construction of such species without any assistance of templates. All triangular metallodendrimers were characterized with multinuclear NMR, CSI-TOF-MS, and elemental analysis. Their structural properties have been studied by using PM6 semiempirical molecular orbital methods, which indicate that all metallodendrimers have triangular rings with internal radii of approximately 1.7 nm. This research has once again proven the versatility and modularity of the directional bonding approach to selfassembly, which surely will be employed for the synthesis of other functional dendritic metallacycles in the future.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. All reactions were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen unless stated otherwise. Toluene was distilled from sodium/benzophenone. Dimethylformamide (DMF) was distilled before use. All solvents were degassed under N_2 for 30 min

before use. Deuterated solvents and all other reagents were purchased and used without further purification. ${}^{1}H$ NMR, ${}^{13}C$ NMR, and ${}^{31}P$ NMR spectra were recorded on a 300 MHz spectrometer (¹H: 300 MHz; 13C: 75 MHz; 31P: 121.4 MHz) at 298 K or a 400 MHz spectrometer (¹H: 400 MHz; ¹³C: 100 MHz; ³¹P: 161.9 MHz) at 298 K. The ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR chemical shifts are reported relative to residual solvent signals, and 31P NMR resonances are referenced to an internal standard sample of 85% H_3PO_4 (δ 0.0). Coupling constants (J) are denoted in Hz and chemical shifts (δ) in ppm. Multiplicities are denoted as follows: $s = singlet$, $d = doublet$, $m = multiplet$, $t = triplet$.

Synthetic Procedures. Synthesis of 3a-c. Under an atmosphere of nitrogen, 2 (772 mg, 2.10 mmol), [G-n]-Br (for G-0, 0.59 mL, 4.83 mmol; for G-1, 1.85 g, 4.83 mmol; for G-2, 3.9 g, 4.83 mmol), and K_2CO_3 (2.9 g, 21.0 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (25 mL). The mixture was heated at reflux for 18 h and then cooled to room temperature. Solvent was then distilled, and the resulting mixture was poured into water and extracted with methylene chloride (15 mL \times 3). The methylene chloride solution was washed with water, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and filtered. The crude products were purified by column chromatography on silica gel (dichloromethane/petroleum ether \sim 1/1) to give compounds 3a−c.

3a. Yield: 0.58 g (white solid), 65%. $R_f = 0.74$ (dichloromethane/nhexane 1/1). Mp: 190−193 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 8.66 (s, 2H), 8.10 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 7.52−7.49 (m, 4H), 7.43–7.36 (m, 6H), 5.27 (s, 4H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 143.2, 136.9, 130.6, 129.0, 128.6, 128.4, 125.4, 124.3, 120.6, 75.5. MALDI-MS for $C_{28}H_{20}Br_2O_2Na$ [(M + Na) ⁺]: 569.00. IR (neat) ν/cm[−]¹ : 2925, 1589, 1564, 1455, 1344, 1310, 1048, 813, 753, 734, 696.

3b. Yield: 0.92 g (white glassy solid), 45%. $R_f = 0.50$ (dichloromethane/n-hexane 3/1). Mp: 150–152 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 8.67 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 2H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.37–7.29 (m, 20H), 6.74 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 4H), 6.57 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 2H), 5.18 (s, 4H), 4.93 (s, 8H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 160.1, 143.1, 139.3, 136.7, 130.7, 129.1, 128.6, 128.3, 128.0, 127.5, 125.5, 124.3, 120.7, 106.9, 101.9, 75.3, 70.1. MALDI-MS for $C_{56}H_{44}Br_2O_6Na$ [(M + Na)⁺]: 993.20. IR (neat) ν/cm^{-1} : 2893, 1595, 1451, 1376, 1290, 1165, 1013, 819, 752, 730, 694. Anal. Calcd for $C_{56}H_{44}Br_2O_6$: C, 69.14; H, 4.56. found: C, 69.10; H, 4.33.

3c. Yield: 1.45 g (white glassy solid), 38%. $R_f = 0.36$ (dichloromethane/n-hexane 4/1). Mp: 45− 47 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 8.65 (s, 2H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 7.34−7.28 (m, 40H), 6.72 (s, 4H), 6.62 (s, 8H), 6.51 (s, 6H), 5.15 (s, 4H), 4.93 (s, 16H), 4.82 (s, 8H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 160.1, 160.0, 143.2, 139.4, 139.2, 136.7, 130.7, 129.1, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.5, 124.3, 120.8, 106.8, 106.4, 102.0, 101.6, 70.0, 69.9. MALDI-MS for $\rm C_{112}H_{92}Br_2O_{14}Na$ $\rm [(M + Na)^+]$ 1841.60. IR (neat) $\nu/$ cm[−]¹ : 2971, 2901, 2361, 1595, 1451, 1407, 1393, 1253, 1066, 892, 735, 697. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁₂H₉₂Br₂O₁₄: C, 73.84; H, 5.09. Found: C, 74.00; H, 5.30.

Synthesis of 4a−c. A 50-mL Schlenk flask was charged under nitrogen with 3a−c (for 3a, 72 mg, 0.13 mmol; for 3b, 126 mg, 0.13 mmol; for 3c, 237 mg, 0.13 mmol) and $Pt(PEt₃)₄$ (334 mg, 0.5 mmol). Freshly distilled toluene (8.0 mL) was added to the flask under nitrogen by syringe, and the resulted bright yellow solution was stirred for 72 h at 99 °C. The solvent was then removed in vacuo. The residue was washed with methanol $(4 \times 2.0 \text{ mL})$ and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (dichloromethane/methyl alcohol ∼500/ 1) to give compounds 4a−c.

4a. Yield: 158 mg (yellow crystalline solid), 86%. $R_f = 0.63$ (dichloromethane/methyl alcohol 500/1). Mp: 155−157 °C. ¹ H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 8.51 (s, 2H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.59−7.57 (m, 6H), 7.43−7.34 (m, 6H), 5.28 (s, 4H), 1.69−1.68 (m, 24H), 1.12−1.04 (m, 36H). 31P NMR (CDCl3, 161.9 MHz): δ 12.69 $(s, {}^{1}J_{\text{Pt-P}} = 2763.6 \text{ Hz}).$ ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 141.6, 138.1, 137.74, 127.65, 126.3, 129.3, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 124.7, 120.6, 75.0, 14.3, 14.1, 14.0, 7.78, 7.72. CSI-TOF-MS for $C_{52}H_{80}BrO_2P_4Pt_2$ [(M – Br)⁺]: 1331.46. IR (neat) *v*/cm^{−1}: 2962, 2874, 2361, 2343, 1661, 1572, 1454, 1085, 1306, 1034, 821, 765, 730, 696. Anal. Calcd for $C_{52}H_{80}Br_2O_2P_4Pt_2$: C, 44.26; H, 5.71. Found: C, 44.51; H, 5.94.

4b. Yield: 215 mg (yellow glassy solid), 90%. $R_f = 0.56$ (dichloromethane/methyl alcohol 500/1). Mp: 71−74 °C. ¹ H NMR $(CDCl₃, 400 MHz): \delta 8.52$ (s, 2H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d, J $= 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.37–7.29 (m, 20H), 6.85 (s, 4H), 6.56 (s, 2H), 5.23 (s, 4H), 4.93 (s, 8H), 1.69−1.68 (m, 24H), 1.11−1.04 (m, 36H). 31P NMR (CDCl₃, 161.9 MHz): δ 12.68 (s, ¹J_{Pt−P} = 2762.0 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): δ 160.1, 141.5, 130.5, 137.8, 136.9, 136.5, 129.4, 128.6, 128.0, 127.6, 124.5, 120.6, 106.8, 101.4, 74.8, 70.1, 14.3, 14.1, 14.0, 7.8. CSI-TOF-MS for $C_{80}H_{104}Br_2O_6P_4Pt_2Na$ $[(M - Br)^+]$: 1755.68. IR (neat) ν /cm⁻¹: 2962, 2931, 2907, 2872, 2353, 1672, 1594, 1452, 1374, 1151, 1033, 825, 764, 731, 697. Anal. Calcd for $C_{80}H_{104}Br_2O_6P_4Pt_2$: C, 52.35; H, 5.71. found: C, 52.69; H, 5.79.

4c. Yield: 265 mg (white glassy solid), 76%. $R_f = 0.51$ (dichloromethane/methyl alcohol 500/1). Mp: 46−51 °C. ¹ H NMR $(CDCl₃, 400 MHz): \delta 8.53$ (s, 2H), 7.83 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.34−7.29 (m, 40H), 6.82 (s, 4H), 6.63 (s, 8H), 6.51 (s, 6H), 5.21 (s, 4H), 4.93 (s, 16H), 4.80 (s, 8H), 1.68 (br, 24H), 1.10−1.04 (m, 36H). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, 121.4 MHz): δ 12.48 (s, $J_{\text{Pt-P}}$ = 2729.1 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 160.1, 160.0, 141.5, 140.5, 139.3, 139.2, 136.7, 136.5, 129.3, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.7, 127.5, 124.5, 120.5, 106.7, 106.5, 106.4, 101.5, 101.4, 74.8, 70.0, 69.9, 14.3, 14.1, 13.9, 7.8, 7.7. CSI-TOF-MS for $C_{136}H_{152}BrO_{14}P_4Pt_2$

 $[(M - Br)^+]$: 2603.86. IR (neat) ν/cm^{-1} : 2987, 2971, 2901, 2360, 1594, 1452, 1407, 1394, 1251, 1149, 1066, 1056, 892, 733, 697. Anal. Calcd for $C_{136}H_{152}Br_2O_{14}P_4Pt_2$: C, 60.85; H, 5.71. Found: C, 61.19; H, 5.93.

Synthesis of 1a−c. A 50 mL round-bottom Schlenk flask was charged with 0.066 mmol of bromide complexes 4a−c (for 4a, 99 mg, 0.07 mmol; for 4b, 128 mg, 0.07 mmol; for 4c, 188 mg, 0.07 mmol) and 12 mL of dichloromethane. To the solution was added 56 mg (0.33 mmol) of AgNO₃ at once at room temperature, resulting in a yellowish precipitate of AgBr. After 24 h, the suspension was filtered through a glass fiber filter, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. Through this experimental procedure, the yellow glassy solids were obtained as the desired products 1a−c.

1a. Yield: 80 mg (yellow crystalline solid), 83%. Mp: 81−83 °C. ¹ H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): δ 8.44 (s, 2H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.60−7.55 (m, 6H), 7.43−7.34 (m, 6H), 5.26 (s, 4H), 1.3 (br, 24H), 1.20−1.12 (m, 36H). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): δ 141.7, 137.8, 135.7, 128.5, 128.22, 128.17, 128.0, 125.1, 124.7, 124.6, 124.5, 120.8, 75.1, 12.9, 12.8, 12.6, 7.5. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, 161.9 MHz): δ 12.27 (s, $^{1}I_{\text{Pt-P}}$ = 2888.3 Hz). CSI-TOF-MS for C₅₂H₈₀NO₅P₄Pt₂ [(M − $NO₃)$ ⁺]: 1312.53. IR (neat) ν/cm^{-1} : 2924, 2855, 1608, 1575, 1454, 1378, 1276, 1152, 1085, 1034, 824, 765, 733, 700. Anal. Calcd for $C_{52}H_{80}N_2O_8P_4Pt_2$: C, 45.41; H, 5.86; N, 2.04. Found: C, 45.26; H, 5.96; N, 1.96.

1b. Yield: 101 mg (yellow glassy solid), 80%. Mp: 59−61 °C. ¹ H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 8.44 (s, 2H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.37−7.33 (m, 20H), 6.82 (s, 4H), 6.56 (s, 2H), 5.20 (s, 4H), 4.92 (s, 8H), 1.54−1.52 (m, 24H), 1.17−1.13 (m, 36H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 160.1, 141.5, 140.3, 136.7, 135.8, 128.6, 128.2, 128.0, 127.6, 124.9, 124.8, 120.7, 106.7, 101.3, 74.8, 70.0, 12.9, 12.8, 12.6, 7.8, 7.5. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, 161.9 MHz): δ 19.29 (s, $\frac{1}{2}J_{\text{Pt-P}} = 2870.5 \text{ Hz}$). CSI-TOF-MS for C₈₀H₁₀₄NO₉P₄Pt₂ [(M $-$ NO₃)⁺]: 1736.73. IR (neat) ν /cm⁻¹: 2960, 2925, 2871, 2736, 2353, 1670, 1595, 1453, 1378, 1262, 1152, 1084, 1035, 804, 765, 734, 698. Anal. Calcd for $C_{80}H_{104}N_2O_{12}P_4Pt_2$: C, 53.39; H, 5.82; N, 1.56. Found: C, 53.74; H, 6.05; N, 1.38.

1c. Yield: 265 mg (white glassy solid), 69%. Mp: 39−43 °C. ¹ H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): δ 8.46 (s, 2H), 7.82 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.34–7.28 (m, 40H), 6.80 (s, 4H), 6.63 (s, 8H), 6.51 (s, 6H), 5.20 (s, 4H), 4.93 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 16H), 4.80 (s, 8H), 1.52 (br, 24H), 1.15−1.13 (m, 36H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 160.1, 160.0, 141.6, 140.2, 139.1, 136.7, 135.9, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.5, 125.0, 120.7, 106.7, 106.5, 101.4, 74.8, 70.0, 69.9, 12.9, 12.8, 12.6, 7.8, 7.5. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, 161.9 MHz): δ 19.00 (s, $^{1}J_{\text{Pt-P}}$ = 2888.3 Hz). CSI-TOF-MS for C₁₃₆H₁₅₂NO₁₇P₄Pt₂ [(M – $2NO₃)²⁺$]: 1262.07. IR (neat) ν/cm^{-1} : 2964, 2928, 2875, 2736, 2352, 1669, 1596, 1452, 1378, 1277, 1154, 1084, 1036, 824, 765, 735, 699. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃₆H₁₅₂N₂O₂₀P₄Pt₂·H₂O: C, 61.25; H, 5.82; N, 1.05. Found: C, 61.03; H, 5.97; N, 1.17.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Supramolecular Triangular Metallodendrimers 6a−c. 4.5 mL mixed solvents of acetone and H₂O (v/v $6/1$) were added to a mixture of nitrate salts 1a−c (for 1a, 17.7 mg, 0.0129 mmol; for 1b, 23.1 mg, 0.0129 mmol; for 1c, 34.1 mg, 0.0129 mmol) and the appropriate donor building block 4,4′-bipyridyl (2.01 mg, 0.0129 mmol). The reaction mixture was then stirred for 14 h at 55−60 °C, upon which starting materials were completely dissolved and the reaction mixture attained a yellow color. The $\overline{\mathrm{PF}_6}^-$ salt of $6\mathrm{a}{-}\mathrm{c}$ was synthesized by dissolving the yellow $\mathrm{NO_3}^$ salt 6a−c in acetone/H2O and adding a saturated aqueous solution of $KPF₆$ to precipitate the product, which was collected by vacuum filtration.

6a. Yield: 19.1 mg (yellow solid), 97%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 9.38 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 6H), 8.89 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 6H), 8.80 (s, 6H), 8.44 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 6H), 8.29 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 6H), 7.97 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 6H), 7.61−7.59 (m, 18H), 7.46−7.39 (m, 18H), 5.33 (s, 12H), 1.38 (br, 72H), 1.18−1.13 (m, 108H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 154.0, 152.9, 144.6, 141.9, 137.8, 135.2, 129.3, 128.5, 128.3, 128.1, 126.0, 125.4, 124.4, 121.1, 75.2, 13.0, 12.8, 12.6, 7.6. 31P NMR $(CDCl_3, 161.9 \text{ MHz})$: δ 11.82 (s, ¹J_{Pt-P} = 2700.5 Hz). CSI-TOF-MS, $[M - 2PF₆]²⁺$, 2401.53; $[M - 3PF₆]³⁺$, 1552.82. Anal. Calcd for

 $C_{186}H_{264}F_{36}O_6N_6P_{18}Pt_6$: C, 43.87; H, 5.23; N, 1.65. found: C, 43.87; H, 5.38; N, 1.66.

6b. Yield: 23.9 mg (yellow solid), 95%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 9.42 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 6H), 8.89 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 6H), 8.84 (s, 6H), 8.45 (d, $J = 5.7$ Hz, 6H), 8.25 (d, $J = 5.7$ Hz, 6H), 7.96 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 6H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 6H), 7.40−7.33 (m, 60H), 6.85 (s, 12H), 6.60 (s, 6H), 5.27 (s, 12H), 4.96 (s, 24H), 1.37 (m, 72H), 1.18−1.08 (m, 108H). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, 121.4 MHz): δ 11.81 (s, ¹J_{Pt-P} = 2718.1 Hz). CSI-TOF-MS, $[M - 3PF_6]^{3+}$, 1977.07; $[M - 4PF_6]^{4+}$, 1446.81. Anal. Calcd for $C_{270}H_{336}F_{36}O_{18}N_6P_{18}Pt_6$: C, 50.94; H, 5.32; N, 1.32. Found: C, 50.92; H, 5.49; N, 1.12.

6c. Yield: 33.6 mg (yellow solid), 93%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 9.41 (br, 6H), 8.88–8.84 (m, 12H), 8.45 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 6H), 8.28 (br, 6H), 8.00 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 6H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 6H), 7.36– 7.30 (m, 120H), 6.83 (s, 12H), 6.66 (s, 24H), 6.54 (s, 18H), 5.26 (s, 12H), 4.96 (s, 48H), 4.84 (s, 24H), 1.38−1.36 (m, 72H). 1.15−1.11 (m, 108H). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, 161.9 MHz): δ 11.89 (s, ¹J_{Pt-P} = 2703.7 Hz). CSI-TOF-MS, $[M - 4PF_6]^{4+}$, 2083.19.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Crystal data and structure of 4a, characterization of the compounds 3a–c, 4a–c, and 1a–c, ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra of 6a−c, and ¹ H DOSY NMR spectra of 6a−c. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: hbyang@chem.ecnu.edu.cn.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing f](mailto:hbyang@chem.ecnu.edu.cn)inancial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Prof. H.-B. Yang thanks the NSFC (91027005 and 21132005), Shanghai Shuguang Program (09SG25), Innovation Program of SMEC (10ZZ32), RFDP (20100076110004) of Higher Education of China, and "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" for financial support.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Fréchet, J. M. J.; Tomalia, D. A. Dendrimers and Other Dendritic Polymers; VCH-Wiley: New York, 2000. (b) Newkome, G. R.; Moorefield, C. N.; Vögtle, F. Dendrimers and Dendrons: Concepts, Synthesis, Perspectives; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2001. (c) Vögtle, F.; Richardt, G.;Werner, N. Dendrimer Chemistry: Concepts, Syntheses, Properties, Applications; Wiley: Weinheim, 2009. (d) Zimmerman, S. C.; Lawless, L. J. Supramolecular Chemistry of Dendrimers. Top. Curr. Chem. 2001, 217, 95. (e) Matthews, O. A.; Shipway, A. N.; Stoddart, J. F. Prog. Polym. Sci. 1998, 23, 1. (f) Advances in Dendritic Macromolecules; Newkome, G. R., Ed., JAI Press: Greenwich, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1999, and 2002; Vols. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. (g) New. J. Chem.Majoral, J.-P., Ed. 2007, 31, 1025. Special issue on Dendrimers. (h) Dendrimers and Nanosciences. C. R. Chim.Astruc, D., Ed. 2003, 6, 709.

(2) For selected reviews, see: (a) Grayson, S. M.; Frechet, J. M. J. ́ Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 3819. (b) Hecht, S.; Fréchet, J. M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 74. (c) Astruc, D.; Chardac, F. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 2991. (d) van Heerbeek, R.; Kamer, P. C. J.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M.; Reek, J. N. H. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 3717. (e) Crespo, L.; Sanclimens, G.; Pons, M.; Giralt, E.; Royo, M.; Albericio, F. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 1663. (f) Tomalia, D. A. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2005, 30, 294. (g) Rosen, B. M.; Wilson, C. J.; Wilson, D. A.; Peterca, M.; Imam, M. R.; Percec, V. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 6275. (h) Astruc, D.; Boisselier, E.; Ornelas, C. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1857.

(3) (a) Baars, M. W. P. L; Kleppinger, R.; Koch, M. H. J.; Yeu, S.-L.; Meijer, E. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 1285. (b) Hecht, S.; Vladimirov, N.; Fréchet, J. M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 18. (c) Marsitzky, D.; Vestberg, R.; Blainey, P.; Tang, B. T.; Hawker, C. J.; Carter, K. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6965. (d) Le Derf, F.; Levillain, E.; Trippé, G.; Gorgues, A.; Sallé, M.; Sebastian, R.-M.; Caminade, A.-M.; Majoral, J.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 224. (e) Gong, L.-Z.; Hu, Q.-S.; Pu, L. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 2358.

(4) (a) Chase, P. A.; Gebbink, R. J. M. K.; van Koten, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 4016. (b) Oosterom, G. E.; Reek, J. N. H.; Kamer, P. C. J.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1828. (c) Dendrimer Catalysis; Gade, L., Ed.; Springer: Heidelberg, 2006.

(5) (a) Balzani, V.; Ceroni, P.; Juris, A.; Venturi, M.; Campagna, S.; Puntoriero, F.; Serroni, S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 219, 545. (b) Andronov, A.; Fréchet, J. M. J. Chem. Commun. 2000, 1701. (c) Freeman, A. W.; Koene, C.; Malenfant, P. R. L.; Thompson, M. E.; Fréchet, J. M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 12385. (d) Weener, J.-W.; Meijer, E. W. Adv. Mater. 2000, 12, 741. (e) Newkome, G. R.; He, E.; Godínez, L. A.; Baker, G. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 9993. (f) Lupton, J. M.; Samuel, I. D. W.; Beavington, R.; Burn, P. L.; Bässler, H. Adv. Mater. 2001, 13, 258.

(6) (a) Svenson, S.; Tomalia, D. A. Commentary. Adv. Drug Delivery Rev. 2005, 57, 2106. (b) Gillies, E. R.; Fréchet, J. M. J. Drug Discovery Today 2005, 10, 35. (c) Boas, U.; Christensen, J. B. Dendrimers in Medicine and Biotechnology; Royal Chemical Society Publishing: Cambridge, U.K., 2006. (d) Dendrimer-Based Nanomedicine; Majoros, I. J., Baker, J. R., Jr., Eds.; Pan Stanford Publishing: Stanford, CA, 2008.

(7) For selected reviews, see: (a) Zeng, F.; Zimmerman, S. C. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 1681. (b) Newkome, G. R.; He, E.; Moorefield, C. N. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1689. (c) Bosman, A. W.; Janssen, H. M.; Meijer, E. W. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1665. (d) Fréchet, J. M. J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2002, 99, 4782.

(8) (a) Zimmerman, S. C.; Zeng, F.; Reichert, D. E. C.; Kolotuchin, S. V. Science 1996, 271, 1095. (b) Yamaguchi, N.; Hamilton, L. M.; Gibson, H. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3275. (c) Corbin, P. S.; Lawless, L. J.; Li, Z.; Ma, Y.; Witmer, M. J.; Zimmerman, S. C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2002, 99, 5099. (d) Gibson, H. W.; Yamaguchi, N.; Hamilton, L.; Jones, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4653. (e) Elizarov, A. M.; Chiu, S.-H.; Glink, P. T.; Stoddart, J. F. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 679. (f) Leung, K. C.-F.; Arico, F.; Cantrill, S. J.; Stoddart, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5808. (g) Zong, Q.-S.; Zhang, C.; Chen, C.-F. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1859.

(9) (a) Stang, P. J.; Olenyuk, B. Acc. Chem. Res. 1997, 30, 502. (b) Constable, E. C. Chem. Commun. 1997, 1073. (c) Leininger, S.; Olenyuk, B.; Stang, P. J. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 853. (d) Fujita, M.; Umemoto, K.; Yoshizawa, M.; Fujita, N.; Kusukawa, T.; Biradha, K. Chem. Commun. 2001, 509. (e) Holliday, B. J.; Mirkin, C. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2022. (f) Fiedler, D.; Leung, D. H.; Bergman, R. G.; Raymond, K. N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 351. (g) Fujita, M.; Tominaga, M.; Hori, A.; Therrien, B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 369. (h) Liu, S.; Han, Y.-F.; Jin, G.-X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1543. (i) Oliver, C. G.; Ulman, P. A.; Wiester, M. J.; Mirkin, C. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1618. (j) Northrop, B. H.; Zheng, Y.-R.; Chi, K.-W.; Stang, P. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1554. (k) Han, Y.-F.; Jia, W.-G.; Yu, W.-B.; Jin, G.-X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3419. (l) Han, Y.-F.; Li, H.; Jin, G.-X. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 6879. (m) Chakrabarty, R.; Mukherjee, P. S.; Stang, P. J. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 6810.

(10) (a) Xu, X.-D.; Yang, H.-B.; Zheng, Y.-R.; Ghosh, K.; Lyndon, M. M.; Muddiman, D. C.; Stang, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7373. (b) Ghosh, K.; Hu, J.; Yang, H.-B.; Northrop, B. H.; White, H. S.; Stang, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 4828. (c) Zhu, K.; He, J.; Li, S.; Liu, M.; Wang, F.; Zhang, M.; Abliz, Z.; Yang, H.-B.; Li, N.; Huang, F. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 3905. (d) Ghosh, K.; Yang, H.-B.; Northrop, B. H.; Lyndon, M. M.; Zheng, Y.-R.; Muddiman, D. C.; Stang, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5320. (e) Yang, H.-B.; Ghosh, K.; Northrop, B. H.; Zheng, Y.-R.; Lyndon, M. M.; Muddiman, D. C.; Stang, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14187.

(11) (a) Ghosh, K.; Zhao, Y.; Yang, H.-B.; Northrop, B. H.; White, H. S.; Stang, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 8553. (b) Yang, H.-B.;

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Article and the Second Secon

Ghosh, K.; Zhao, Y.; Northrop, B. H.; Lyndon, M. M.; Muddiman, D. C.; White, H. S.; Stang, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 839. (c) Ghosh, K.; Hu, J.; White, H. S.; Stang, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6695. (d) Zhao, G.-Z.; Chen, L.-J.; Wang, C.-H.; Yang, H.-B.; Ghosh, K.; Zheng, Y.-R.; Lyndon, M. M.; Muddiman, D. C.; Stang, P. J. Organometallics 2010, 29, 6137. (e) Zhao, G.-Z.; Li, Q.-J.; Chen, L.- J.; Tan, H.; Wang, C.-H.; Lehman, D. A.; Muddiman, D. C.; Yang, H.- B. Organometallics 2011, 30, 3637.

(12) (a) Vaughan, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 730. (b) Hall, J. R.; Loeb, S. J.; Shimizu, G.; Yap, G. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 121. (c) Jiang, H.; Lin, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8084. (d) Heo, J.; Jeon, Y.-M.; Mirkin, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7712. (e) Zangrando, E.; Casanova, M.; Alessio, E. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 4979.

(13) (a) Fujita, M.; Sasaki, O.; Mitsuhashi, T.; Fujita, T.; Yazaki, J.; Yamaguchi, K.; Ogura, K. Chem. Commun. 1996, 1535. (b) Lee, S. B.; Hwang, S. G.; Chung, D. S.; Yun, H.; Hong, J.-I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 873. (c) Piotrowski, H.; Polborn, K.; Hilt, G.; Severin, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2699. (d) Cotton, F. A.; Lin, C.; Murillo, C. A. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 575. (e) Schweiger, M.; Seidel, S. R.; Arif, A. M.; Stang, P. J. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 2556.

(14) (a) Kryschenko, Y. K.; Seidel, S. R.; Arif, A. M.; Stang, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5193. (b) Addicott, C.; Das, N.; Stang, P. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 5335. (c) Mukherjee, P. S.; Das, N.; Kryschenko, Y. K.; Arif, A. M.; Stang, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2464. (d) Das, N.; Ghosh, A.; Arif, A. M.; Stang, P. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 7130. (e) Jude, H.; Disteldorf, H.; Fischer, S.; Wedge, T.; Hawkridge, A. M.; Arif, A. M.; Hawthorne, M. F.; Muddiman, D. C.; Stang, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12131. (f) Maran, U.; Britt, D.; Fox, C. B.; Harris, J. M.; Orendt, A. M.; Conley, H.; Davis, R.; Hlady, V.; Stang, P. J. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 8566.

(15) (a) Newkome, G. R.; Moorefield, F.; Vö gtle, F.; Baker, G. R.; Johnson, A. L.; Behara, R. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1991, 30, 1176. (b) Denti, G.; Serroni, S.; Campagana, S.; Ricevuto, V.; Balzani, V. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1991, 182, 127. (c) Balzani, V.; Campagna, S.; Denti, G.; Juris, A.; Serroni, S.; Venturi, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 26. (d) Gorman, C. B.; Smith, J. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 60. (e) Astruc, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 287. (f) Crooks, R. M.; Zhao, M.; Sun, L.; Chechik, V.; Yeung, L. K. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 181. (g) Selby, H. D.; Roland, B. K.; Zheng, Z. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 933. (h) Huck, W. T. S.; van Veggel, F. C. J. M.; Reinhoudt, D. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 1213. (i) Enomoto, M.; Aida, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 874. (j) Hwang, S.-H.; Shreiner, C. D.; Moorefield, C. N.; Newkome, G. R. New J. Chem. 2007, 1192. (k) Constable, E. C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 246.

(16) (a) Yang, H.-B.; Das, N.; Huang, F.; Hawkridge, A. M.; Muddiman, D. C.; Stang, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 10014. (b) Yang, H.-B.; Hawkridge, A. M.; Huang, S. D.; Das, N.; Bunge, S. D.; Muddiman, D. C.; Stang, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 2120. (c) Baytekin, H. T.; Sahre, M.; Rang, A.; Engeser, M.; Schulz, A.; Schalley, C. A. Small 2008, 4, 1823. (d) Yang, H.-B.; Northrop, B. H.; Zheng, Y.-R.; Ghosh, K.; Stang, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 7067. (e) Yang, H.-B.; Northrop, B. H.; Zheng, Y.-R.; Ghosh, K.; Lyndon, M. M.; Muddiman, D. C.; Stang, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 3524. (f) Zheng, Y.-R.; Ghosh, K.; Yang, H.-B.; Stang, P. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 4747. (g) Han, Q.; Li, Q.-J.; He, J.; Hu, B.; Tan, H.; Abliz, Z.; Wang, C.-H.; Yu, Y.; Yang, H.-B. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 9660. (h) Wang, J.-L.; Li, X.; Lu, X.; Chan, Y.-T.; Moorefield, C. N.; Wesdemiotis, C.; Newkome, G. R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 4830. (17) (a) Kim, H.-J.; Lee, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 10994.

(b) Kaleta, J.; Mazal, C. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1326.

(18) He, J.; Abliz, Z.; Zhang, R.; Liang, Y.; Ding, K. Anal. Chem. 2006, 78, 4737.